UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,821	10/07/2003	Anant V. Hegde	31513-701.201	6623
66854 SHAY LAW G	7590 05/16/2007 GROUP LLP		EXAM	INER
2755 CAMPUS DRIVE		STOKLOSA, JOSEPH A		
SUITE 210 SAN MATEO,	CA 94403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		`				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		10/681,821	HEGDE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joseph Stoklosa	3762			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	eptember 2006.				
<i>-</i>	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39 and 122-170</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 40-121 and 171-232 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-39 and 122-170</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	e election requirement				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action of form FTO-132.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		red in this National Stage			
* (application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
,	500 the attached detailed office details for a liqu					
Attachme		·				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) 🛛 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal				
Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 9/29/2006 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 40-121 and 171-232 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 9/29/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
- 5. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 14-15, 36-38, 122, 128-130, 150-152, 156, and 161-164, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Franchi (US 6,030,335).
- 7. Franchi discloses an implantable heart assist pump comprising: a body (Fig. 1, 10) and a coupled membrane (Fig. 1, 18) with a stiffness less than the body (Col. 4, line 16-22), with a variable volume in between the membrane and body where the membrane volume varies when the cavity volume is deformed (Col. 3, 36-46). Franchi discloses the body is made of a rigid metal and the

Art Unit: 3762

membrane is made of an elastomer (Col. 4, 16-22). Franchi discloses the membrane and body are coupled to each other about the perimeter at the upstream and downstream ends (Col. 3, line 62-65; Fig. 1). Franchi discloses the perimeter of the body and the perimeter of the membrane to have similar and different shapes at times (Fig. 3, Fig. 5, Fig. 6, Fig. 10).

- 8. With regard to claims 128-130, Franchi further discloses a pump in communication with the device that is pulsitile in nature and relating to a systole, and the entire system being implantable (Col. 3, line 47-59). Franchi discloses a sensor configured to generate cardiac data (Col. 7, line 6-17).
- 9. With regard to claims 150-152, 156, 161-164, Franchi discloses a plurality of conduits (Fig. 4, 24) supplying fluid, such as saline (Col. 6, line 50), to the cavity of the device and returning fluid to the pump, as well as a fluid volume compensator that is in fluid flow path between the pump and the cavity, wherein the compensator adjust volume ported into the cavity and allow replenishment of the fluid system (Col. 3, line 47- Col. 4, line 15; Fig. 1, Fig. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that

Art Unit: 3762

the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 12. Claims 4, 7-13, 16-25, 170 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franchi.
- 13. With regard to claims 4, 7-11, and 170, Franchi discloses the claimed invention except for a first silicone elastomer and a second silicone elastomer with material properties of 5-50 A and 500% elongation of the first silicon elastomer and 65-95 A and 400% percent elongation of the second elastomer and a reinforcement of polyester, nylon, stainless steel, platinum or other alloys of the second elastomer layer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Franchi with first silicone elastomer and a second silicone elastomer with material properties of 5-50 A and 500% elongation of the first silicon elastomer and 65-95 A and 400% percent elongation of the second elastomer with a reinforcement element of polyester, nylon, stainless steel, platinum or other alloys, since it has been held to be within general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Art Unit: 3762

- 14. With regards to Claim 13, Franchi discloses the claimed invention except for the first and second layers to be of silicone neoprene and copolymers comprising styrene and butadiene. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Franchi with the first and second layers to be of silicone neoprene and copolymers comprising styrene and butadiene, since it was known in the art that the first and second layers to be of silicone neoprene and copolymers comprising styrene and butadiene is used to provide a biocompatible elastically deformable material for use in a vascular assist device.
- 15. With regards to claims 16-20, Franchi discloses the claimed invention except for the dimensions of the first and second layer reference each other. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Franchi with the dimensions of the first and second layer reference each other since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.
- 16. With regards to claims 21-22, Franchi discloses blood vessel to be the Aorta (abstract).
- 17. With regards to claims 23-25, Franchi discloses the claimed invention except for the device to be adapted to engage inter-costal arteries or veins, the superior vena cava, or the inferior vena cava. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the

Art Unit: 3762

system as taught by Franchi with engaging inter-costal arteries or veins, the superior vena cava, or the inferior vena cava since such a modification would provide for assistance and pumping in other parts of the cardiovascular system to improve patient cardiac health.

- 18. In addition since the system disclosed by Franchi is capable of being used around the aorta, it would also be inherently capable of fitting around other blood vessels, such as the superior vena cava, since they are of similar size, shape and relative dimensions, relative to the size of the patient.
- 19. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franchi in view of Asrican (US 4,192,293).
- 20. With regard to claim 39, Franchi discloses the claimed invention except for an expandable bladder disposed between the first and second layer. Asrican teaches that it is known to use a bladder to be inflated to force flow of blood. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Franchi with an expandable bladder as taught by Asrican, since such a modification would provide the system with an expandable bladder for providing expansion to deform the compliant first layer while at the same time acting as a closed fluid pressure system so as not to lose the hydraulic fluid.
- 21. Claims 123-127, 131-132, 134 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franchi in view of Freed et al. (US 5,169,379).
- 22. With regard to claims 123-127, 131-132, 134, Franchi discloses the claimed invention but fails to disclose what kind of signal is obtained in the

Art Unit: 3762

system or if the signal is indicative of systole, diastole, aortic pressure, arterial pressure, or venous pressure from what kind of sensor. Freed teaches that it is known to observe aortic pressure, which is inherently indicative of systolic pressure, and arterial pressure, as set forth in Col. 6, line 46, for providing pumping parameters. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Franchi with a signal indicative of systole, diastole, aortic pressure, arterial pressure, or venous pressure, since it was known in the art that systole, diastole, aortic pressure, arterial pressure, or venous pressure, as taught by Freed, since such a modification would provide the system with signal is indicative of systole, diastole, aortic pressure, arterial pressure, or venous pressure from what kind of sensor for providing indication of cardiac performance and would provide data for the controller to determine whether or not to activate the pump.

- 23. Claims 153-154 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franchi in view of Kung (US 4,888,011).
- 24. With regard to claims 153-154, Franchi discloses the claimed invention but fails to teach a second diameter of the lumen of one of the conduits. Kung teaches that it is known to vary flow resistance through varying lumen dimensions as set forth in Col. 4, line 53, for providing increased flow resistance to maintain a desired flow direction. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Franchi with a second diameter of the lumen of one of the conduits, as taught by Kung, since—such a modification would provide a second diameter

Art Unit: 3762

of the lumen of one of the conduits is used to provide varied flow resistance in order to provide a desired flow direction.

- 25. With regard to claim 157-160, Franchi discloses the claimed invention except for the use of gas as the fluid along with the material properties of the gas or specific gases. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Franchi since it was known in the art that the use of a gas along with the material properties of the gas or specific gases and the material properties of gas are used to provide a safe alternative to an actual liquid. IT has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.
- 26. Claims 165-168 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franchi in view of Okuzumi (US 6,587,734).
- 27. With regard to claims 165-168, Franchi discloses the claimed invention except for coating the device with a material to enhance lubricity and reduce fluid loss. Okuzumi teach that it is known to coat a vascular assist device with a polyurethane to enhance lubricity and prevent loss of fluid as set forth in Col. 9, line 1-13, for providing increased lubricity so as not to rupture or shear vasculature. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Franchi with coating the device with a material to reduce fluid loss and provide lubricity, as taught by Okuzumi, since it was known in the art that coating the device with a

Art Unit: 3762

material to reduce fluid loss and provide lubricity is used for allowing easier application of the device and prevent future invasive procedures to address lost fluid and/or ruptured or sheared vasculature.

- 28. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franchi in view of Walsh et al (US 6,902,522).
- 29. Franchi discloses the claimed invention except for coating the device with a tissue growth polymer such as poly-lysine. Walsh teaches that it is known to use poly-lysine in coating a vascular assist device as set forth in Col. 19, line 1-21 to provide receptor cell recruitment and increase patient acceptance of the implant. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Franchi with coating the device with a tissue growth polymer such as poly-lysine, since such a modification would provide the system with a device that is coated with tissue growth polymer such as poly-lysine for providing receptor cell recruitment and increase patient acceptance of the implant.
- 30. Claims 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franchi in view of Jarvik (US 4,938,766).
- 31. Franchi discloses the claimed invention except for at least two tabs at a first end and a second end. Jarvik teaches that it is known to use tabs as set forth in Fig. 14, 29 for providing flattening of the vessel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Jarvik, since such a modification would provide for flattening the vessel for improved compliance.

Art Unit: 3762

Allowable Subject Matter

32. Claims 27-35, 135-149, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Stoklosa whose telephone number is 571-272-1213. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3762

Joseph Stoklosa Examiner Art Unit 3762

GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER

5/10/7